

(a) the details of the facilities being provided by the Government to encourage the industries in Anand Parvat in Karol Bagh area of Delhi;

(b) whether the Government propose to formulate a policy to give more incentives to these industries; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): (a) to (c). According to Delhi Administration, Anand Parvat is a non-conforming area for establishment of industry under the provisions of the Delhi Master Plan. In view of this, SSI registration has been issued from time to time to units having a municipal licence given by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi. No proposal to give more incentives to these industries is presently under consideration of that Administration. However, facilities being provided to units which are already registered on permanent basis in the Anand Parvat, Karol Bagh area; are the same as those provided to SSI registered units elsewhere in Delhi.

[English]

Trained Man-Power in Foot-wear Industry

5588. SHRI V. SOBHANAD-REESWARA RAO VADDE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is shortage of trained Man-Power in the Foot-wear industry; and

(b) if so, the steps proposed to be taken to see that more hands are available to run the foot-wear industry?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Re-Imbursement of Central Investment Subsidy

5589. SHRI A. CHARLES: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the re-imbursement of Central Investment Subsidy was being paid to the Government of Kerala for the last nearly two years without insisting pre-registration;

(b) if so, from which date and under what circumstances the Government are now insisting on pre-registration;

(c) the total amount of Central Investment Subsidy pending re-imbursement to Kerala till date;

(d) whether any request has been received from the Government of Kerala for waiving the above condition; and

(e) if so, what action has been taken by the Government and the stage at which the matter stands?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): (a) to (e). Government of India have received a representation from the Government of Kerala for waiving the condition of pre-registration for grant of the Central Investment Subsidy. While the registration was a requirement under the Scheme, the State Governments were allowed to waive this condition.

The Central Investment subsidy Scheme was withdrawn w.e.f. 1.10.88. Following this, the Central Government advised States/UTs to disburse Subsidy to non-manufacturing activities by 30.9.1989 and to manufacturing activities by 31.12.1989, provided the projects were approved by the State Level Committee/District Level Committee on or before

30.9.1988 i.e. within the validity period of the Scheme. The Government of Kerala had submitted reimbursement claims amounting to Rs. 11.16 crores. As the subsidy in respect of the units contained in the claims was not approved by the State Level Committee/District Level Committee on or before 30.9.1988, these claims could not be reimbursed. The condition of pre-registration is not being insisted upon.

C&AG Report on Warehousing Corporation of India

5590. SHRI DATTATRAYA BANDARU:
SHRI VIRENDRA SINGH:
SHRI BALRAJ PASSI:
SHRI PRABHU DAYAL KATHERIA:

Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state:

(a) whether the C&AG in his latest report has criticized the Central Warehousing Corporation for incurring a huge loss due to non-realisation of customs bonded warehouses dues;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the action taken against the official responsible?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI TARUN GOGOI): (a) and (b). C&AG's report has mainly commented upon non-realisation of Warehouse charges, amounting to Rs. 662.21 lakhs, in respect of unclaimed imported goods deposited in the custom bonded warehouses of Central Warehousing Corporation in Bombay Region.

(c) Central Warehousing Corporation has taken the view that none of their officials is responsible for non-realisation of dues

because it is the responsibility of the Customs authorities to effect recoveries by auctioning the time-barred bonds.

Accumulation of earned leave

5591. SHRI JANARDAN MISRA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Union Government extend the facility of accumulation of earned leave to its employees;

(b) if so, to what extent and whether there is wide difference between the period of earned leave allowed to be accumulated in respect of Central Government employees working in productive and non-productive sections;

(c) if so, the period of difference;

(d) whether the Government are going to take some steps to obviate this difference;

(e) if so, by what time and details thereof; and

(f) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The Central Government employees in the non-industrial sector are governed by the CCS (Leave) Rules, 1972 and are entitled to accumulation of earned leave upto 240 days. The industrial employees other than those in the Ministry of Railways are entitled to accumulation of 60 days earned leave.

(d) to (f). An Award given by the Board of Arbitration on 26.4.91 raising the maximum period of accumulation of earned leave from 60 days to 120 days for the industrial